

# The universal $C^*$ -algebra of the electromagnetic field

Detlev Buchholz, Fabio Ciolli, Giuseppe Ruzzi, Ezio Vasselli



“Quantum Field Theory: Infrared problems and constructive aspects”

TUM – 8th October 2015

# Motivation

## Critique of AQFT:

*The connection between the key concepts of AQFT and LQFT, i.e. observables and quantum fields, is not at all clear.*

M. Kuhlmann [2000]

*In AQFT the algebra of observables contains the physical content. This has not been extended to include local gauge theory.*

E. MacKinnon [2005]

*To be lured away from the Standard Model by AQFT is sheer madness.*

D. Wallace [2010]

*To some questions (e.g., is there a photon field that interacts with an electron field?), LQFT provides the obvious right answer and AQFT cannot.*

D.J. Baker [2015]

*AQFT has given us a frame and a language, not a theory.*

R. Haag [1996]

Is AQFT capable of describing concrete physical systems?

Example: electromagnetic field in  $d = 4$ . It may interact with ...

- nothing (asymptotic radiation)
- classical currents (external electromagnetic forces)
- quantum currents (atoms, ions)
- electrons, protons (elementary particles)
- quarks (constituents) ...

LQFT approach: write down in each instance a classical Lagrangian describing the interaction and “quantize” it

AQFT approach: construct a universal algebra of the electromagnetic field and “represent” it (as the case may be)

Message of talk: There is such a universal  $C^*$ -algebra!

# Electromagnetic field

Notation:

- $\mathcal{D}_r(\mathbb{R}^4)$  space of real, tensor-valued test functions with compact support, rank  $r = 0, \dots, 4$ , totally anti-symmetric (forms)
- $d : \mathcal{D}_r(\mathbb{R}^4) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{r+1}(\mathbb{R}^4)$  exterior derivative (generalized curl)
- $\delta : \mathcal{D}_r(\mathbb{R}^4) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{r-1}(\mathbb{R}^4)$  co-derivativ (generalized divergence)

Distinctive properties of the electromagnetic field  $F$ :

- $F : \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{R}^4) \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$  (linear map to generators of some  $*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{P}$ )
- $F(\delta h) = 0, h \in \mathcal{D}_3(\mathbb{R}^4)$  (homogeneous Maxwell equation)
- $j(g) \doteq F(dg), g \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}^4)$  (inhomogeneous Maxwell equation)
- $F(f) \mapsto F(f_P), P \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow$  (covariance)
- $[F(f_1), F(f_2)] = 0$  if  $\text{supp } f_1 \perp \text{supp } f_2$  (locality)

Convenient to proceed from  $F$  to “intrinsic vector potential”  $A$ :

$$A(\delta f) \doteq F(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{R}^4)$$

Note:  $\delta(\delta f) = 0$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ , i.e.  $\delta f \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}^4)$  is “co-closed”.

**Question:** Are all co-closed elements of  $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}^4)$  of this form?

### Local Poincaré Lemma

*Let  $g \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}^4)$  be co-closed,  $\delta g = 0$ , and  $\text{supp } g \subset \mathcal{O}$  (double cone). There is  $f \in \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{R}^4)$  with  $\text{supp } f \subset \mathcal{O}$  such that  $g = \delta f$ .*

### Causal Poincaré Lemma

*Let  $g \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}^4)$  be co-closed,  $\delta g = 0$ , and  $\text{supp } g \perp \mathcal{O}$  (double cone). There is  $f \in \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{R}^4)$  with  $\text{supp } f \perp \mathcal{O}$  such that  $g = \delta f$ .*

**Notation:**  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}^4) \subset \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}^4)$  subspace of co-closed elements.

**Definition:** For  $g \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ , put  $A(g) \doteq F(f)$ , where  $\delta f = g$ .

Consistency:  $\delta f = g = \delta f'$  implies  $f' - f = \delta h$  and consequently  $F(f') = F(f)$ .

Reformulation of properties of  $F$  in terms of  $A$ :

- $A : \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}^4) \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$
- $A(\delta(\delta h)) = 0$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{D}_3(\mathbb{R}^4)$  (homogeneous Maxwell equ.) ✓
- $j(g) \doteq A(\delta dg)$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}^4)$  (inhomogeneous Maxwell equ.)
- $A(g) \mapsto A(g_P)$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow$  for  $g \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}^4)$  (covariance)
- $[A(g_1), A(g_2)] = ?$  if  $\text{supp } g_1 \perp \text{supp } g_2$  (locality?)

## Theorem

Let  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}^4)$  such that  $\text{supp } g_1 \perp \text{supp } g_2$ . Then

- $[A(g_1), A(g_2)] \in \mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{P}'$  (center)
- $[A(g_1), A(g_2)] = 0$  if  $\text{supp } g_1 \times \text{supp } g_2$

# The universal algebra

Heuristic idea: Proceed to abstract unitary operators  $V(a, g) \triangleq e^{iaA(g)}$

## Definition:

$\mathfrak{U}_0$ : unitary group generated by  $\{V(a, g) : a \in \mathbb{R}, g \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}^4)\}$ , relations

$$V(a_1, g)V(a_2, g) = V(a_1 + a_2, g), \quad V(a, g)^* = V(-a, g), \quad V(0, g) = 1$$

$$V(a_1, g_1)V(a_2, g_2) = V(1, a_1g_1 + a_2g_2) \quad \text{if } \text{supp } g_1 \times \text{supp } g_2$$

$$[V(a, g), [V(a_1, g_1), V(a_2, g_2)]] = 0, \quad \text{for any } g \text{ if } \text{supp } g_1 \perp \text{supp } g_2$$

$\mathfrak{V}_0$ : complex linear span of the elements of  $\mathfrak{U}_0$  ( $*$ -algebra)

*Note:* Let  $\omega$  be any state on  $\mathfrak{V}_0$  with GNS-representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Omega)$ . Then  $A \mapsto \|\pi(A)\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  defines a  $C^*$ -semi-norm on  $\mathfrak{V}_0$ . If  $\omega$  is faithful, it is a  $C^*$ -norm.

## Lemma

*Let  $\omega$  be the functional on  $\mathfrak{G}_0$  given by  $\omega(V) = 0$  for  $V \in \mathfrak{G}_0 \setminus \{1\}$  and  $\omega(1) = 1$ . The canonical extension of this functional to the complex linear span of  $\mathfrak{G}_0$  is a faithful state on  $\mathfrak{V}_0$ .*

*Sketch of proof:*  $\omega(c_0 1 + \sum_n c_n V_n) = c_0$  for  $V_n \in \mathfrak{G}_0 \setminus \{1\}$  (basis of  $\mathfrak{V}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{G}_0$ );  
 $\omega((c_0 1 + \sum_n c_n V_n)^*(c_0 1 + \sum_{n'} c_{n'} V_{n'})) = |c_0|^2 + \sum_n |c_n|^2 \geq 0$ . QED

## Corollary

*Let  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Omega)$  be the GNS-representation induced by  $\omega$ . The map  $A \mapsto \|\pi(A)\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ ,  $A \in \mathfrak{V}_0$ , defines a  $C^*$ -norm on  $\mathfrak{V}_0$ .*

**Definition:** The completion of  $\mathfrak{V}_0$  with regard to the norm

$$\|A\| \doteq \sup_{\pi, \mathcal{H}} \|\pi(A)\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad A \in \mathfrak{V}_0$$

is the universal  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{V}$  of the electromagnetic field.



## The universal algebra

Does  $\mathfrak{V}$  satisfy all Haag-Kastler axioms?

*Isotony:*  $\mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathfrak{V}(\mathcal{O}) \doteq C^*\{V(a, g) : a \in \mathbb{R}, g \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{O})\}$ ; the algebra  $\mathfrak{V}$  is, by construction, the  $C^*$ -inductive limit of these local algebras ✓

*Covariance:* The invertible maps  $\alpha_P$  defined by

$$\alpha_P(V(a, g)) \doteq V(a, g_P), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow,$$

extend to automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{G}_0$ , then to its span  $\mathfrak{V}_0$ , and then by continuity to  $\mathfrak{V}$ . Moreover,  $\alpha_P(\mathfrak{V}(\mathcal{O})) = \mathfrak{V}(P\mathcal{O})$  by construction. ✓

*Locality:* By definition  $[V(a_1, g_1), V(a_2, g_2)] = 0$  if  $\text{supp } g_1 \times \text{supp } g_2 = \emptyset$ . Hence  $[\mathfrak{V}(\mathcal{O}_1), \mathfrak{V}(\mathcal{O}_2)] = 0$  if  $\mathcal{O}_1 \perp \mathcal{O}_2$ . ✓

*Primitivity:* Not satisfied since  $\mathfrak{V}$  has a non-trivial center. ⚡

Vital (difficult) step: Pick a suitable pure state  $\omega \in \mathfrak{V}^*$  (e.g. vacuum) such that the kernel  $\ker \pi$  of its GNS-representation is Poincaré invariant. The quotient algebra  $\mathfrak{V}/\ker \pi$  then satisfies all Haag-Kastler axioms, i.e. defines a theory.

# Representations

Characterization of states of interest:

**Definition:** Let  $\omega$  be a state on  $\mathfrak{V}$ .

- $\omega$  is regular (strongly regular) if all functions

$$a_1, \dots, a_n \mapsto \omega(V(a_1, g_1) \cdots V(a_n, g_n))$$

are continuous (smooth, with tempered derivatives at 0)

- $\omega$  satisfies condition  $L$  if it is strongly regular and

$$\frac{d}{da} \omega(V_1 V(a, g_1) V(a, g_2) V(a, -g_1 - g_2) V_2) \Big|_{a=0} = 0$$

## Theorem

*Let  $\omega$  be a state on  $\mathfrak{V}$ , satisfying  $L$ , with GNS representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Omega)$*

- *There exist selfadjoint operators  $A_\pi(g)$  with common stable core  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\pi(V(a, g)) = e^{iaA_\pi(g)}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ .*
- *$a_1 A_\pi(g_1) + a_2 A_\pi(g_2) = A_\pi(a_1 g_1 + a_2 g_2)$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

**Definition:** A state  $\omega$  on  $\mathfrak{V}$  describes the vacuum if it is pure and

- $\omega \circ \alpha_P = \omega, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow$
- $P \mapsto \omega(V_1 \alpha_P(V_2))$  continuous,  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathfrak{V}$
- $\text{supp} \{k \mapsto \int dx e^{-ikx} \omega(V_1 \alpha_x(V_2))\} \subset \overline{V}_+, \quad V_1, V_2 \in \mathfrak{V}$

## Theorem

Let  $\omega$  be a vacuum state on  $\mathfrak{V}$  with GNS representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Omega)$ . There exists a continuous unitary representation  $U_\pi$  of  $\mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow$  such that

$$U_\pi(P)\pi(V)U_\pi(P)^{-1} = \pi \circ \alpha_P(V), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow, \quad V \in \mathfrak{V}.$$

*Note:*  $\pi$  is irreducible and  $\ker \pi$  is Poincaré invariant; hence  $\mathfrak{V}/\ker \pi$  defines a Haag-Kastler theory with dynamics induced by  $U_\pi$ .

## Remarks:

- Any vacuum state  $\omega$  is fixed by its generating functional

$$g \mapsto \omega(V(1, g)), \quad g \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}^4).$$

*Sketch of proof:* Given  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  there are  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^4$  such that

$$\omega(\alpha_{x_1}(V(a_1, g_1)) \cdots \alpha_{x_n}(V(a_n, g_n))) = \omega(V(1, \sum_m a_m g_m x_m)).$$

Right hand side fixed by generating functional, left hand side can be continued to  $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 0$  by the EOW-Theorem.

- Any vacuum state  $\omega$  satisfying condition  $L$  fixes correlation functions of  $F$  satisfying all Wightman axioms.

# Examples

Task: Determination of states of interest in  $\mathfrak{V}^*$  with property  $L$

(1) *Zero current:* (recall  $A_\pi(\delta dg) = j_\pi(g)$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ )

## Lemma

*Let  $\omega_0$  be a vacuum state on  $\mathfrak{V}$  with zero current. Then*

$$g \mapsto \omega_0(V(1, g)) = e^{-c \langle g, g \rangle}, \quad g \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}^4),$$

*(free electromagnetic field in Fock space representation,  $c \geq 0$ ).*

(2) *Classical (central) currents:*

## Lemma

*Let  $\omega$  be a pure state on  $\mathfrak{V}$  in presence of a classical current. Then*

$$g \mapsto \omega(V(1, g)) = e^{ij_\pi(G_0 g)} \omega_0(V(1, g)), \quad g \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}^4),$$

*( $j_\pi$  distribution,  $G_0$  Green's function of  $\square$ ,  $\omega_0$  state with zero current)*

(3) *Quantum currents:* No rigorous examples yet of vacuum states on  $\mathfrak{V}$ .

- Feynman (path integral) approach relies on heuristic formula

$$g \mapsto \omega(V_T(1, g)) \doteq Z^{-1} \int dA d\psi d\bar{\psi} e^{iS(A, \psi, \bar{\psi})} e^{iA(g)}$$

for time ordered exponentials

- Steinmann approach for expectations of unordered exponentials

$$g \mapsto \omega(V(1, g))$$

relies on field equations

Both approaches consistent only in renormalized perturbation theory.

(4) *Topological charges:*

There exist pure states on  $\mathfrak{V}$  such that  $\pi(\llbracket V(1, g_1), V(1, g_2) \rrbracket) \neq 1_{\mathcal{H}}$  for certain  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}^4)$  with  $\text{supp } g_1 \perp \text{supp } g_2$ .

# Summary

- Universal  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of the electromagnetic field has been constructed
- Haag-Kastler axioms satisfied (primitivity)
- Any relativistic QFT involving electromagnetic field induces a particular vacuum state on  $\mathfrak{A}$  ( $\mathfrak{A}/\ker \pi$  satisfies all axioms)
- Algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  meaningful starting point for study of existence problems and structural analysis (IR problems *etc*)
- Topological features of intrinsic vector potential  $A$  encoded in center of  $\mathfrak{A}$
- AQFT is capable of describing concrete physical systems; approach complementary to LQFT ( $\pi$  versus  $\mathcal{L}$ )